

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,621	10/24/2003	Jukka Alve	4208-4143 (Nokia 28764) 7186	
	7590 10/19/2007 FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN	IANCIAL CENTER		SHERR, CRISTINA O	
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	_
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,621	ALVE, JUKKA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (6), cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration53 is/are rejected.	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ition is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/9/07.	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed August 6, 2007. Pursuant to a requirement for election of species, claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18-22, 25, 26-37, 39-53 are currently pending in this case.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18-22, 25, 26-37, 39-53 in the reply filed on August 6, 2007 is acknowledged.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 9, 2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18-22, 25, 26-37, 39-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraser et al (US 5,835,595) in view of Wiser et al (US 6,385,596).
- 6. Regarding claim 1 -

Art Unit: 3621

Fraser discloses a method of processing information in a communications device, comprising: receiving from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); transmitting a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content (e.g. col 5 ln 15-20).

- 7. Fraser does not teach, but Wiser does receiving from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 34-36); and decrypting the encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device, the private key of the communications device corresponding to the public key of the communications device. (e.g. col 4 ln 10-40).
- 8. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.
- 9. Regarding claim 2 -

Fraser discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step (b) comprises transmitting the public key of the communications device to the second remote device; (e.g. col 7 In 25-40).

## 10. Regarding claim 6 -

Fraser discloses receiving one or more usage rules from the first remote device, wherein the usage rules correspond to the content; transmitting the one or more usage rules to the second remote device; receiving one or more modified usage rules from the

Art Unit: 3621

second remote device; and associating the one or more modified usage rules with the content (e.g. col 7 ln 25-40).

## 11. Regarding claim 7 -

Fraser discloses a communications device, comprising:

a first communications interface adapted to receive from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key; a module adapted to decrypt an encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device, and (e.g. col 4 ln 1-15);

- 12. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, a second communications interface adapted to (a) transmit a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content(e.g. col 4 ln 12-14), and (b) receive from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device, the public key of the communications device corresponding to the private key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 10-40).
- 13. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

#### 14. Regarding claims 8 and 12 -

Wiser discloses the device of claim 7, wherein the request includes the public key of the communications device; wherein the first communications interface is further adapted to receive one or more usage rules from the first remote device, the usage rules corresponding to the content; and wherein the second communications interface is

Art Unit: 3621

further adapted to transmit the one or more usage rules to the second remote device; and to receive one or more modified usage rules from the second remote device (e.g. col 4 ln 13-41, col 6 ln 44-50, col 8 ln 40-65).

#### 15. Regarding claim 13-

Fraser discloses a communications device, comprising: means for receiving from a first remote device content encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); means for transmitting a request for the content key to a second remote device, the second remote device authorized to act on behalf of a provider of the content (e.g. col 5 ln 10-20).

- 16. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does means for receiving from the second remote device an encrypted version of the content key, wherein the encrypted version of the content key is encrypted with a public key of the communications device; and means for decrypting the encrypted version of the content key with a private key of the communications device, the private key of the communications device corresponding to the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 20-40).
- 17. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.

### 18. Regarding claim 14 -

Fraser discloses a system, comprising: a communications device adapted to receive from a remote device a content item encrypted with a content key (e.g. col 5 ln 1-4); and an authorized agent authorized to act on behalf of a content distributor (e.g. col 5 ln 10-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,621 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

19. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, the authorized agent adapted to provide the content key to the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 20-40).

- 20. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.
- 21. Regarding claims 16, 18-21 –

Wiser discloses wherein the request includes a public key of the communications device, wherein the authorized agent is further adapted to provide to the communications device the content key encrypted with a public key of the communications device; further comprising the content distributor; further comprising the remote device; wherein the remote device receives the content item from the content distributor; wherein the communications device, the remote device, and the authorized agent communicate with each other across one or more wireless communications networks (e.g. col 8 ln 40-50).

- 22. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.
- 23. Regarding claim 22 -

Fraser discloses a method of facilitating distribution of content among devices in an authorized agent, comprising: (a) receiving authorization to act on behalf of a content distributor; (b) receiving from a communications device a request for a content key, the content key for decrypting a content item originally distributed by the content distributor;

Application/Control Number: 10/691,621 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

(c) encrypting the content key with a public key of the communications device (e.g. col 5 In 1-25)

- 24. Fraser does not disclose, but Wiser does, (d) transmitting to the communications device the content key encrypted with the public key of the communications device (e.g. col 4 ln 10-35).
- 25. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Fraser and Wiser in order to avoid the pitfalls and "bottlenecks" of having the keys stored and issued by the same device that stores and distributes the digital data.
- 26. Claims 25, 26-37, 39-53 are rejected under the same criteria as above.
- 27. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/691,621

Art Unit: 3621

29. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

30. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr

Patent Examiner, AU 3621

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600